UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO

DAVID L. BUCEY,) CASE NO. 5:05 CV 2197
Plaintiff,	JUDGE JAMES S. GWIN
v.)
) <u>MEMORANDUM OF OPINION</u>
JONATHAN STUMP,) <u>AND ORDER</u>
)
Defendant.)

On September 19, 2005, plaintiff pro se David L. Bucey, an inmate at the Belmont Correctional Institution, filed the above-captioned action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 against Jonathan Stump, who is identified as the Director of Correctional Health Care Group, Inc. at the Stark County, Ohio Sheriff's Office. The complaint alleges that plaintiff was formerly an inmate at the Stark County Jail, and that he did not receive a new bandage/dressing for a surgical incision. For the reasons stated below, this action is dismissed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e).

A district court is expressly required to dismiss any civil action filed by a prisoner seeking relief from a governmental officer or entity, as soon as possible after docketing, if the court concludes that the complaint fails to state a claim upon

which relief may be granted, or if the plaintiff seeks monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. 28 U.S.C. §1915A; Siller v. Dean, No. 99-5323, 2000 WL 145167, at *2 (6th Cir. Feb. 1, 2000).

Prisoners asserting cruel and unusual punishment must establish that prison officials have shown deliberate indifference to their needs. Wilson v. Seiter, 501 U.S. 294, 111 S.Ct. 2321 (1991). Prison officials may not deprive inmates of the "minimal civilized measure of life's necessities." Rhodes v. Chapman, 452 U.S. 337. 348 (1981).

It is well established that section 1983 will not support a claim based upon a theory of respondent superior alone. Polk County v. Dodson, 454 U.S. 312, 325 (1981). Supervisory officials may be deemed liable for the unconstitutional actions of subordinates only when those actions are the result of official policies or customs. Monell v. Dept. of Social Services, 436 U.S. 658 (1978). Monell extends to patterns of misconduct in which the supervisor acquiesced. Hays v. Jefferson County, Ky., 668 F.2d 869 (6th Cir. 1982). See also, Bellamy v. Bradley, 729 F.2d 416, 421 (6th Cir. 1984) (requiring a showing that the supervisor encouraged the specific misconduct or in some way directly participated in it).

Even liberally construed, the complaint contains no allegation directly connecting defendant to the asserted violation of plaintiff's federally protected rights, or reasonably suggesting that he encouraged, acquiesced in, or established policies

Case: 5:05-cv-02197-JG Doc #: 3 Filed: 10/26/05 3 of 3. PageID #: 7

resulting in the violation of such rights.

Accordingly, this action is dismissed under section 1915A. Further, the court certifies, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3), that an appeal from this decision could not be taken in good faith.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 26, 2005

<u>s/ James S. Gwin</u>

JAMES S. GWIN

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

3